



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/077,962 | 02/20/2002 | Atsushi Imamura | 50063-063 3167 | |
| 7590 10/17/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W. | | | ALAVI, AMIR | |
| Washington, DC 20005-3096 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2621 | 2621 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|---|
| | 10/077,962 | IMAMURA ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Amir Alavi | 2621 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI | l. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-26 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-21 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | · |
| Application Papers | | • |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 February 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No d in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P | (PTO-413) te. <u>2co5</u> ㎏928 atent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) 🔲 Other: | |

Art Unit: 2621

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 28 July 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- > Applicant argues in essence that the cited prior art does not utilize a multi dimensional color space.
- Examiner disagrees and indicates that the cited prior art reasonably address limitations of the claimed invention. Applicant is reminded that Examiner will interpret each claim in the broadest reasonable sense, as such, the claims and only the claims form the metes and bounds of the invention. In this regard, Examiner considers the cited prior art, namely, Kitamura-USPN-5,263,095, column 10, lines 64-68 and column 11, lines 1-2, in which image in various colors is displayed on the color monitor 53. In this regard, Examiner considers any color space, such as, RGB, CMYK, etc. to inherently contain more than one dimension, hence, multi dimensional.

Art Unit: 2621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kitamura (USPN 5,263,095).

Regarding claim 13, Kitamura, discloses: a lookup table that has an arbitrary individual color within a multi dimensional color space as input and a color number indicating one among plural representative colors as output (please note, figures 11 and 13, in correlation to column 10, lines 38-48. In this regard, Examiner considers the system color table SCT of figure 13 to correspond to Applicant's lookup table, wherein Ns values, corresponds to Applicant's individual colors, which are input to the lookup table, while, Nd values, which are output in the lookup table, and are referred to as the display color numbers, in this, wherein values of zero for Nd indicates of the presence of color white, meanwhile values of one for Nd represents color black, this being representative of plural colors. Also, please note, column 10, lines 64-

Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

68 and column 11, lines 1-2, in which image in various colors is displayed on the color monitor 53. In this regard, Examiner considers any color space, such as, RGB, CMYK, etc. to inherently contain more than one dimension, hence, multi dimensional); and a color region divider configured to obtain a representative color number for each pixel color in the color image with the aid of the lookup table, and to classify each pixel in the color image into one of plural representative color regions associated with the plural representative colors according to the representative color numbers, thereby dividing the image region of the color image into the plural representative color regions (please note, figures 11 and 13, in correlation to column 10, lines 38-48. As indicated a system color table SCT is prepared which shows the relation between the system color numbers Ns and the display color numbers Nd. wherein the display number Nd=1 representing a black portion is registered for the separate areas R22, R25, and R26 corresponding to the linework elements, while the display number Nd=0 representing a white portion is registered for the separate areas R21, R23, and R24 corresponding to the white background of the layout sheet. In this regard, it is clear that figure 11 is segmented into six distinctive portions, namely, R21-R26 and accordingly has been classified into one of plural representative color numbers, namely, color number Nd=1 of black portions and color number Nd=0 of white portions).

Art Unit: 2621

Allowable Subject Matter

- > Claims 1-12 and 14-21 are allowed.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The present invention is directed to a method for dividing an image region of a color image according to colors. Independent claims 1,9 and 14 identify the uniquely distinct feature, " for calculating plural composite distance indices for each pixel color in the color image based on the distance indices and the angle indices, the plural composite distance indices being associated with the plurality of representative colors; and classifying pixels in the color image into plural representative color regions associated with the plural representative colors, according to the composite distance indices, thereby dividing the image region of the color image into the plural representative color regions"; Independent claims 5 and 18 identify the uniquely distinct feature, " for calculating composite distance indices for each arbitrary individual color in the color space based on the distance indices and the angle indices, the plural composite distance indices being associated with the plurality of

representative colors, respectively and relating each arbitrary individual color in the color space with one of the plural representative colors according to the composite distance indices, and preparing a lookup table storing the correspondence between each arbitrary individual color and the plural representative colors". The closest prior art, Takaha (USPN 6,021,221), discloses wherein a central processing circuit firstly subdivides the basic image into a plurality of division regions which are like in color, in units of pixel groups, subsequently, the central processing circuit calculates distances between pixels within the division region and pixels within the contour region, and also calculates positions of pixels with respect to the initial region, and then determines factor values for the respective pixels on the basis of distance values indicative of the distance and the position; while Maggioni (USPN 5,828,779), discloses method for constructing a color table in a computer unit for the classification of picture elements in an image, either singularly or in combination, fail to anticipate or render the above underlined limitation obvious.

Art Unit: 2621

Conclusion

- > THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

➤ Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amir Alavi whose telephone number is 571-272-7386.

- The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.. 8:00 am thru 6:30pm.lf attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph Mancuso can be reached on 571-272-7695.
- The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.
- For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.
 Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the
 Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AA Group Art Unit 2621 03 October 2005

ANDREW W. JOHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER